1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
789	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10 11 12	SHANE WALIEZER, Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-01100-RAJ-JRC ORDER DENYING
13	ADAM FORTNEY, et al.,	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States	
17	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. Before the Court is plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel.	
18	Dkt. 6.	
19	Unlike in a criminal case, in a § 1983 action, there is no constitutional right to appointed	
20	counsel, and whether to appoint counsel is within this Court's discretion. Storseth v. Spellman,	
21	654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981); see United States v. \$292,888.04 in U.S. Currency, 54 F.3d	
22	564, 569 (9th Cir. 1995). Appointment of counsel for indigent civil litigants under 28 U.S.C. §	
23	1915(e)(1) requires "exceptional circumstances." S	Tee Rand v. Roland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th
24		

1	Cir. 1997) (citing former 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) (1996)), overruled on other grounds, 154 F.3d 952	
2	(1998). To decide whether exceptional circumstances exist, the Court must evaluate "both 'the	
3	likelihood of success on the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro	
4	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d	
5	1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986) (quoting Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983)).	
6	"Neither of these factors is dispositive and both must be viewed together[.]" <i>Id</i> .	
7	Plaintiff does not make any argument that he has shown the exceptional circumstances	
8	meriting the appointment of counsel in this matter, other than citing his indigency.	
9	Moreover, although the Court has found that solely for screening purposes, his complaint	
10	is adequate to merit an order directing service on defendants, the Court is unable to determine	
11	that there is a likelihood of success on the merits, at this early stage in the proceedings.	
12	Plaintiff's complaint presents a claim of excessive force used to accomplish his arrest, and he	
13	appears to be capable of adequately articulating his claim.	
14	Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel (Dkt. 6) is therefore DENIED without prejudice.	
15	Dated this 14th day of September, 2021.	
16		
17		
18	T. Monof (walno	
19	J. Richard Creatura Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		